TPP Summit Keypad Polling Complete Text

1. Which community do you live in or have the strongest connection to?

1. La Center

2. Ridgefield

3. Salmon Creek/Hazel Dell

4. Vancouver

5. East Vancouver

6. Camas/Washougal

7. Orchards

8. Battle Ground

9. Yacolt/Amboy

10. Rural Unincorporated

2. Which best describes your primary perspective for this summit?

1. Elected Official

2 Government Administrator

3. Business Leader

4. Civic Leader

5. Citizen

Focus of Discussion for Summit Exercise # 1 (Questions 3, 4, & 5)

3. R-51: What was the main reason it failed?

1. Not Needed 6. Environmentally Unfriendly

2. Not Fair 7. Bad Economy

3. Too Expensive 8. Anti Government Atmosphere

4. Wrong Projects 9. No-Tax Attitude

5. Poorly Promoted 10. Other

4. Which best describes how the Clark County region's transportation system (and funding) is trending?

1. In Crisis Now

2. Crisis in 1-4Yrs

3. Crisis in 5-10Yrs

4. Holding Off Crisis

5. Trending Somewhat Positive

6. Trending Very Positive/No Crisis

5. Impact of transportation system/funding trends: How at risk is each of following aspects of our region?

1=Very Low Risk 2=Somewhat Low 3=Medium 4=High Risk 5=Very High Risk

- 5.1. <u>Health of Existing Commerce and Economics</u>: Freight, retail, small businesses, keeping major employers, jobs, and local labor pool
- 5.2. <u>Economic Development/Expansion:</u> Attracting new businesses/jobs, encouraging local entrepreneurs, growing the tax base
- 5.3. <u>Social, Cultural, Recreational Amenities</u>: Fairs, sports facilities, entertainment, and enjoying natural/outdoor attractions
- 5.4. Residential development, housing mix, and real estate values
- 5.5. <u>Vitality of Towns, Residential Communities, and Neighborhoods</u>
- 5.6. Maintaining the desired attributes of Rural, Farm and Unincorporated Areas
- 5.7. <u>Environmental Health:</u> Air and water quality, fish and wildlife habitat,
- 5.8. <u>Mobility:</u> Predictability, choices, safety, convenience

<u>Focus of Discussion for Summit Exercise # 2 (Question 6)</u>

6. What priority should we give to pursuing or investing in each of the following?

1=Very Low Priority 2=Somewhat Low 3=Medium 4=High Priority 5=Very High Priority

- 6.1. More Aggressive Regional Economic Development and Expansion Strategy
- 6.2. <u>More Aggressive Development of Ports and Existing Commercial /</u>
 Industrial sites
- 6.3. <u>Discovery Corridor:</u> Develop ramps, roads and sites along I-5 (north of I-205 interchange) for High Tech and other industrial development
- 6.4. <u>Improving Public Transportation (non light rail):</u> Commuter busses, urban routes, rural service, frequency, park n rides, service for disabled and elderly
- 6.5. New or Enhanced I-5 Bridge
- 6.6. Building a Third Bridge (not related to I-5)
- 6.7. <u>Light Rail Loop:</u> (Oregon Interstate MAX, up to Vancouver, Across 4th Plain / SR 500, Down I-205, to Gateway/PDX MAX Line)

Focus of Discussion for Summit Exercise # 3 (Question 7)

7. What level of effort should we give to each the following transportation related cost, spending and funding items?

1=Very Low Effort 2=Somewhat Low 3=Medium 4=High Effort 5=Very High Effort

- 7.1. Cut costs by reducing bureaucracy and regulatory red tape
- 7.2. <u>Cut costs by improving contracting, purchasing, and utilization of capital equipment</u> related to transportation maintenance and construction
- 7.3. <u>Seek Improvements in Spending effectiveness:</u> Determine highest priority projects, time limited opportunities, optimal building plan/timeline, optimal coordination and scheduling of interdependent projects
- 7.4. Reduce Spending on Roads / Improvements by implementing New Construction Moratoriums to slow growth and demand on system
- 7.5. <u>Lead or Advocate for a State wide transportation funding and building</u> initiative
- 7.6. <u>Lead or Advocate for a Local/Regional transportation funding and building</u> initiative
- 7.7. <u>Seek and support a sales tax increase for Funding Public Transportation</u> (bus service related)

Focus of Discussion for Summit Exercise # 4 (Question 8)

8. What priority would you give to pursuing each of the following actions/efforts in the next 3 years?

1=Very Low Priority 2=Somewhat Low 3=Medium 4=High Priority 5=Very High Priority

- 8.1. <u>Create a Regional/Local Blue Ribbon Committee(s):</u> to Develop transportation related strategic direction and action recommendations
- 8.2. <u>Improve Transportation Planning Process:</u> To be more integrated with land

- use planning, and/or better public input, and/or better coordination between jurisdictions
- 8.3. Continuation of TPP, or development of a new / improved Regional Citizen Input process: Education, Information, Communications and Engagement process that allows citizens to have better input into decision making processes and a healthy sense of ownership of the challenges, choices, and solutions
- 8.4. <u>Pursue more Public / Private Partnerships to Fund and Build</u>
 Transportation related projects
- 8.5. Improve Effectiveness and Utilization of State Legislative Delegation and State Lobbying Efforts: Work with and encourage the state legislators, and improve other lobbying efforts, to be more consistent and effective at making the case for and procuring state funds for regional/local transportation needs
- 8.6. <u>Focus on Developing Regional Authority and Ability to Fund</u>
 <u>Transportation:</u> Seek approval from State Legislature, develop regional funding and project plan, take it to the voters
- 8.7. <u>Work more Closely with Oregon Transportation Jurisdictions:</u> (METRO, ODOT, City of Portland)
- 8.8. Improve Effectiveness and Utilization of U.S. Congressional Team and Federal Lobbying Efforts: Work with and encourage the US congressional delegation representing this region, and improve other lobbying efforts, to more consistently and effectively make the case for and attract federal funds for regional transportation needs
- 8.9. (Other Bold Action/Effort ideas generated during the Summit)
- 9. Feedback and next steps: What do you think?

1=Strongly Disagree 2= Disagree 3=Neutral 4= Agree 5=Strongly Agree

- 9.1. This Summit was a valuable learning experience for all involved.
- 9.2. The TPP process, summit, and report will add value to the immediate planning processes of the various jurisdictions in this region.
- 9.3. This Summit could be a significant turning point in shaping the transportation future of this region.